PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE COVER SHEET FOR SOLICITATION NUMBER N00174-03-Q-0112

Name of offeror questionnaire is being completed for:	
Name of company completing questionnaire:	
Name of the person and title completing questionnaire:	
Length of time your firm has been involved with the offeror:	
Type of work performed by referenced offer:	

SUBMIT PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE TO:

NAVSEA INDIAN HEAD, SURFACE WARFARE CENTER DIVISION 101 Strauss Avenue, Bldg 1558 Indian Head MD 20640-5035 Karen A. Tindley, Contract Specialist,

Code 1142J BY: July 2, 2003

ATTACHMENT 2

SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION SEE FAR 3.104

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE INTERVIEW SHEET SOLICITATION NUMBER: N00174-03-Q-0112

RATING SCALE

Please use the following ratings to answer the questions. If you are unable to rate an item because it was not a requirement, never an issue, or you have no knowledge of the item in question then you should mark "N/A".

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Exceptional - The offerors performance was consistently superior.

The contractual performance was accomplished with Few minor problems for which corrective action taken

By the contractor were highly effective.

Average - The offerors performance was good, better than average,

Etc., and that they would willingly do business with the Offeror again. The contractual performance was Accomplished with some minor problems for which Corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.

Neutral - No record exists.

Poor - The offerors performance was entirely unsatisfactory

And that they would not do business with the offeror again under any circumstances. The contractual performance of the element being assessed contains problems for which the contractor corrective actions

appear to be or were ineffective.

ATTACHMENT 2

SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION SEE FAR 3.104

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

1. The referenced contractor was responsive to the Customers needs. EGNPN/A 2. The contractors personnel were qualified To meet the requirements. EGNPN/A 3. The contractors ability to accurately estimate EGNPN/A

TIMELINESS

4. The contractors ability to ensure, to the extent of Its responsibility, that all tasks were completed within EGN PN/A The requested time frame.

RELIABILITY

5. The contractor had a clear understanding of the work Detailed in the SOW. EGNPN/A

6. The contractors ability to complete tasks correctly the first time.

EGN PN/A

7. The contractors ability to resolve problems.

EGNPN/A

PRODUCT QUALITY

- The contractors quality and reliability of services delivered. EGNPN/A
- Quality, reliability, and maintainability of hardware delivered. E G N P N/A

ATTACHMENT 2 SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION SEE FAR 3.104

PLEASE PROVIDE SUBJECTIVE REPONSES FOR THE FOLLOWING:

10. Would you recommend this contractor for similar government contracts? Please explain:
11. Have you experienced special or unique problems with the referenced contractor that we should be aware of in making our decision?
12. In summary, which of the following would you choose to describe the quality of the referenced contractors service:
Significantly better than acceptable
Slightly better than acceptable
Acceptable
Slightly less than acceptable
Entirely unacceptable
13. In summary, which of the following would you choose to describe reference contractors willingness to cooperate to resolve performance disagreements:
Highly cooperative
Cooperative
Somewhat uncooperative
Highly uncooperative
Thank you for taking the time to complete the above information.
Interviewers Name:, Date
ATTACHMENT 2
SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION SEE FAR 3.104

PAST PERFORMANCE MATRIX

References	\$ Value of Contract	Work Description	Contract Complete d on Time YES / NO	Contract Completed at Proposed Cost YES / NO (if no % of overrun)	Provide Explanation for NO answers

REFERENCES COLUMN SHOULD INCLUDE GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY/ COMPANY NAME, ADDRESS, POC AND TELEPHONE NUMBER.

ATTACHMENT 1

SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION SEE FAR 3.104